1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Charles L. Post, State Bar No. 160443		
Lukas J. Clary, State Bar No. 251519		
weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin		
LAW CORPORATION		
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor		
Sacramento, California 95814		
Telephone: 916/558-6000		
Facsimile: 916/446-1611		
Email: cpost@weintraub.com		
<u>lclary@weintraub.com</u>		
•		
Attorneys for Plaintiff		
California Restaurant Association		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT Case No. 3:16-cv-06660-JST ASSOCIATION, a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation, STIPULATION EXTENDING THE PAGE **LIMITS FOR: (1) CALIFORNIA** Plaintiff, RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND v. **AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF** MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY CITY OF EMERYVILLE, a California municipal corporation, INJUNCTION; AND (2) CITY OF EMERYVILLE'S OPPOSITION TO Defendant. **CRA'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY** INJUNCTION; PROPOSED ORDER

Plaintiff California Restaurant Association ("Plaintiff") and Defendant City of Emeryville ("Defendant") (collectively "the Parties") hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), but not to exceed forty (40) pages in length. Plaintiff and Defendant hereby further stipulate and agree that Defendant may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), but not to exceed forty (40) pages in length. This Stipulation is made pursuant to Rules 7-11 and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

7-12 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

There is good cause for the Stipulation to extend the page limit given that this case involves several independent causes of action, each of which involves numerous complex and novel legal issues. Each cause of action invokes constitutional challenges, including challenges under the First (Free Speech), Fifth (Takings), and Fourteenth (Equal Protection) Amendments and Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy) of the United States Constitution, along with similar claims invoking the California Constitution. Thus, more than 25 pages are needed for the moving and opposition papers to fully address each cause of action.

The parties also hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for Defendant's responsive pleading to January 31 pursuant to Local Rule 6-1.

Finally, the parties hereby stipulate and agree to attempt to reserve March 9 as the hearing date for Plaintiff's Preliminary Injunction and for Defendant's anticipated Motion to Dismiss. The parties also stipulate to the following briefing schedule for Plaintiff's Preliminary Injunction and for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss:

Opening Briefs: January 31, 2017

Opposition Briefs: February 14, 2017

Reply Briefs: February 21, 2017

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 10, 2017	weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin
	law corporation

By: /s/ Lukas J. Clary Lukas J. Clary

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff California Restaurant Association 23

Dated: January 10, 2017 Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP

/s/ J. Leah Castella By: J. Leah Castella

> Attorneys for Defendant City of Emeryville

Case 3:16-cv-06660-JST Document 12-1 Filed 01/10/17 Page 3 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

PROPOSED ORDER

Plaintiff's Motion for Extra Pages pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation is granted. Plaintiff		
may file a memorandum of points and authorities up to 40 pages in support of its motion for		
preliminary injunction. Defendant may file a memorandum of points and authorities up to 40		
pages in opposition to Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.		

Dated:	
	Hon. Jon S. Tigar

weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin law corporation

> Stipulation Extending Page Limit for 1) CRA's MPA in Support of Preliminary Injunction; and 2) City of Emeryville's Opposition; Proposed Order